

School Of Health And Related Research

A systematic review and network metaanalysis of pharmacological therapies used for patients with advanced Parkinson's disease

Shijie Ren¹, Katy Cooper¹, James Cooper², Helen Smith², Soraya Shaikh²

¹ School of Health and Related Research, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom and ² GlaxoSmithKline, Brentford, Middlesex, United Kingdom

BACKGROUND

Parkinson's disease affects about 110,000 people in the UK and 1.25 million people in Europe.1

Current options for management of advanced Parkinson's disease include:

- · Increased dose or frequency of immediate-release levodopa
- Supplemental controlled-release levodopa (CR LD)
- · Adjunctive therapies with levodopa, including dopamine agonists, monoamine oxidase-B inhibitors (MAO-BIs), and catechol-O-methyl transferase inhibitors (COMTIs)

A new modified release form of levodopa (IPX066) is in development.

OBJECTIVE

To update and extend a previous review^{2,3} and explore the efficacy and safety of therapies for management of advanced Parkinson's disease including IPX066 using network metaanalysis

METHODS

Search method

- A systematic literature search to identify relevant studies
- Identified additional relevant studies by checking bibliographies of recent systematic reviews^{2,3}, NCCCC PD guideline, and via contact with clinical experts

Study selection

- Included studies in advanced Parkinson's disease patients with motor fluctuations
- · Excluded interventions not recommended as first choice for advanced Parkinson's; open label trials; non-English language studies

Outcome measures

- · Off-time reduction, Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) scores, patient withdrawals, adverse events Statistical analysis
- A network meta-analysis using WinBUGS⁴ software
- A random effects model to allow for potential heterogeneity in treatment effects between studies
- · Class effects taken into account

RESULTS

Systematic literature review included 43 trials; 9,453 patients were included in the network meta-analysis

Table 1. Results of the network from the analyses for effectiveness and safety outcomes comparing each free vention with the 25 spaces, freedom from the first vention with the 25 spaces, freedom from the first vention with the 25 spaces, freedom from the first vention with the 25 spaces, freedom from the first vention with the 25 spaces, freedom from the first vention with the 25 spaces, freedom from the first vention with the 25 spaces.								
	IR LD + Entacapone	IR LD + Ropinirole	IR LD + Pramipexole	IR LD + Rotigotine	IR LD + Rasagiline	IR LD + Selegiline	IPX066	CR LD
Off-time reduction ^a (unit hours)	-0.70 (-1.02, -0.39)	-1.39 (-2.05,-0.71)	-1.71 (-2.11, -1.35)	-1.13 (-1.63, -0.61)	-0.79 (-1.40, -0.21)	-0.94 (-1.84, -0.05)	-1.40 (-2.19, -0.67)	-0.84 (-1.77, 0.13)
UPDRS ADL score ^a	-1.06 (-1.55, -0.56)	-2.33 (-3.53, -1.06)	-1.93 (-2.69, -1.35)	-1.84 (-2.68, -1.02)	-1.47 (-2.73, -0.23)	NA	-1.44 (-2.58, -0.44)	NA
UPDRS motor score ^a	-2.49 (-3.41, -1.59)	-4.25 (-6.16, -2.45)	-5.88 (-7.22, -4.63)	-4.94 (-6.14, -3.75)	-2.77 (-4.80, -0.80)	NA	-2.63 (-4.63, -0.67)	NA
UPDRS total score ^a	-2.25 (-4.72, 0.40)	NA	-10.09 (-13.57, -6.56)	NA	-2.05 (-13.00, 9.13)	NA	-4.27 (-7.74, -0.53)	NA
Dyskinesia ^b	2.26 (1.73, 3.00)	3.02 (1.71, 5.57)	2.40 (1.78, 3.44)	2.64 (1.58, 4.71)	1.77 (0.99, 3.12)	0.90 (0.41, 2.00)	7.07 (2.10, 32.72)	NA

CONCLUSION

- Confirmed previous findings^{2,3} regarding class effects of adjuvant therapies that dopamine agonists class was more effective than MAO-BIs and COMTIs
- All therapies with the exception of controlled-release levodopa were associated with benefit (MAO-BIs at borderline)
- IPX066 was broadly comparable with IR LD+dopamine agonists in off-time reduction
- Most therapies were associated with a significant increase in the risk of having dopaminergic side effects, particularly dyskinesia.

REFERENCES

1: Gustavsson A, et al. Cost of disorders of the brain in Europe 2010. European Neuropsychopharmacology 2011; 21: 718-779.

2: Stowe R, Ives N, Clarke CE, Handley K, Furmston A, Deane K et al. Meta-analysis of the comparative efficacy and safety of adjuvant treatment to levodopa in later Parkinson's disease. [Review]. Movement Disorders 2011; 26(4):587-598.

3: Stowe R, Ives N, Clarke CE, Deane K, van H, Wheatley K et al. Evaluation of the efficacy and safety of adjuvant treatment to levodopa therapy in Parkinson s disease patients with motor complications. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (7):CD007166, 2010 2010;(7):CD007166. 4: Lunn DJ, Thomas A, Best N, Spiegelhalter D. WinBUGS - a Bayesian modelling framework: concepts, structure and extensibility. Statistics and Computing 2000; 10: 325-337.



Contact us: s.ren@sheffield.ac.uk www.scharrheds.blogspot.co.uk www.sheffield.ac.uk/heds

@scharrheds www.facebook.com/scharrsheffield

Conflict of interest: GSK funded the design and conduct of the project (GSK study number 200220). SR and KC are employees of School of Health and Related Research at University of Sheffield and have no conflicts to report. JC, HS and SS are employees of GSK and hold shares in GSK Acknowledgement: We could like to thank J. Rathbone, T. Gomersall, S.

Harnan, E. Simpson for data extraction, J.W. Stevens for providing statistics advice, and A. Sutton for searching databases.