Wellcome Outputs Management Plan

Data and software outputs

The data and software outputs your research will generate

Wellcome guidance

Consider and briefly describe:

- the types of data and software the proposed research will generate
- which data and software will have value to other research users and could be shared.

We recognise that in some cases it may not be appropriate for researchers to share data and software outputs (for example, for ethical or commercial reasons). If you don't intend to share outputs, you must justify your reasons.

Software should be shared in a way that allows it to be used effectively, and we encourage you to provide appropriate and proportionate documentation for the user community.

We encourage you to share null and negative findings and data, as well as data supporting new findings, where this may have value to the community. This helps to avoid unnecessary waste and duplication.

When existing data/resources are being reused as part of the funding activity, you should consider:

- how existing data/resources will be accessed
- if there are any constraints on reuse of existing data.

TUoS/DCC guidance: Data collection

Describe how you will collect and organise data to make them easier to find, understand and reuse. Explain how you will maintain consistency and quality. This is particularly important if data are generated by other people or a variety of equipment. Strategies may include using relevant standards, and processes such as calibration, repeat sampling and data entry validation.

Good practices when collecting and organising data include using version control, naming conventions, folder structure, peer review and controlled vocabularies.

See Organising data (TUoS) and Data quality assurance (UK Data Service).

TUoS/DCC guidance: Data format

List the file formats you will be using in your research, eg plain text (txt), csv, jpeg. Also explain your reasons for choosing the formats, which may be related to your discipline, project staff or chosen repository, for example. Formats used for collection, processing, storage and preservation should be listed. For preservation and sharing purposes, formats should be chosen that are open and well documented, eg csv rather than xlsx, txt rather than docx.

You can find guidance on formatting data at the UK Data Service.

The metadata and documentation that will accompany the outputs

Wellcome guidance

Data should be shared in line with recognised data standards, where these exist, and in a way that maximises opportunities for data linkage and interoperability. <u>FAIRsharing</u> is one directory of available data standards.

You should:

- provide sufficient, high quality metadata to allow the dataset to be discovered, interpreted and used by others
- adopt agreed best practice standards for metadata provision, where these are in place.

TUoS/DCC guidance: Metadata & documentation

Outline the metadata that will be provided to enable discovery of the data. The Research Data Alliance's Directory of Metadata Standards gives guidance, as do some repositories.

Describe how you will document your data so that it remains understandable and usable in the future. This is likely to include abbreviations, headings and units, along with the methods and software used. These should be kept with the data, ideally in readme.txt files.

Using a community metadata standard, if available for your discipline, will help you and others to use the data. You can find information on disciplinary metadata standards at the <u>Digital Curation Centre</u> and FAIRsharing.

When you intend to share your data and software

Wellcome guidance

You must specify the timescale for sharing datasets and software, using any recognised standards of good practice in your research field.

Researchers have the right to a reasonable (but not unlimited) period of exclusive use of the research data and software they produce.

As a minimum, you should make the data and software underpinning research articles available to other researchers **at the time of publication**, providing this is consistent with:

- any ethics approvals and consents that cover the data
- reasonable limitations required for the appropriate management and exploitation of IP.

You should make sure that these articles include a statement explaining how other researchers can access the data, software or materials. See our guidance on <u>complying with our open access policy</u>.

Where research data relates to a public health emergency, quality-controlled data must be shared as rapidly and openly as possible. This is in line with the <u>statement on data sharing in public health</u> <u>emergencies</u> and <u>principles for data sharing in public health emergencies</u>.

We encourage researchers to consider opportunities for timely and responsible pre-publication sharing of datasets and software. Where appropriate, you may use publication moratoria to enable pre-publication sharing with other researchers, while protecting your right to first publication.

Any restrictions on data and software use should be reasonable, transparent and in line with established best practice in the respective field.

TUoS/DCC guidance: Data sharing

Outline which data you will share and how you will share them, eg depositing in a repository, using a secure data service or dealing with data requests individually. The method(s) used will depend upon the size and nature of the data. You should use standards and formats that enable reuse, and ensure data is discoverable through use of accurate metadata and persistent identifiers.

The Digital Curation Centre provides useful advice about <u>data appraisal and selection</u> and the <u>NERC data value checklist</u> provides guidance on determining long-term value.

Most funders allow a delayed release to allow researchers to have exclusive use of their data and to exploit the results of their research. See Research funder policy summaries to determine when you need to make your data available. Restrictions on the release of data may be allowed, to protect confidentiality and for other ethical and legal reasons. See University and legal requirements and UK Data Service Legal and ethical issues for more information.

While restrictions on sharing should be minimised, you should take into account the following when sharing data:

- Does your data include confidential and sensitive information?
- Have participants given consent for their data to be shared?
- Consider what can be done to make sensitive data openly sharable can these data be anonymised?
- Do parts of your research data require different access conditions? These may require separate deposits.
- Who will be responsible for controlling access?

Access to and use of sensitive and confidential data can be restricted and regulated using <u>end user licences</u> or <u>data sharing agreements</u>, or by using data enclaves. Whatever form of publishing is used, research data needs to be licensed to indicate what users may or may not do with the data. Data repositories will indicate what licences are available for the data they house. More information is available from the Digital Curation Centre on <u>how to license research data</u>. For all University of Sheffield research, a metadata record should be <u>registered in ORDA</u>, the University's data repository.

See also Research data repositories.

Where your data and software will be made available

Wellcome guidance

You should deposit data in recognised data repositories for particular data types where they exist, unless there's a compelling reason not to do so. The <u>FAIRsharing</u> and <u>re3data</u> resources provide lists of data resources, and Wellcome Open Research maintains a <u>curated list of approved repositories</u> suitable for Wellcome-funded research.

Where there is no recognised subject area repository available, we encourage researchers to use general community repositories and resources, such as <u>Dryad</u>, <u>FigShare</u>, the <u>Open Science</u> Framework or Zenodo.

If you intend to create a tailored database resource or to store data locally, you should ensure that you have the resources and systems in place to curate, secure and share the data in a way that maximises its value and guards against any associated risks.

You need to consider how data held in this way can be effectively linked to and integrated with other datasets to enhance its value to users.

For software outputs, use a hosting solution that exposes them to the widest possible number of users. <u>GitHub</u> allows revision control and collaborative hosting of project code for software development, with associated archiving of each release in Zenodo. A suitable revision control system and issue tracker should be in place before programming work begins. This should be available for all members of the research team.

TUoS/DCC guidance: Data repository

Long-term preservation and access is generally best managed by using a specialist repository. While you don't have to specify the repository you will use, you should state the criteria you will use to select it. When considering a repository, you should examine their policies, procedures, metadata standards and any costs that might be incurred. If using a storage facility other than an established repository or data centre, you will need to demonstrate its efficacy and longevity.

Some funders specify a data repository, such as <u>UK Data Service ReShare</u>, <u>NERC Data Centres</u> or <u>Archaeology Data Service</u>. Resources such as <u>re3data</u> and those provided by <u>BBSRC</u> or <u>Nature</u> can be used to find an appropriate repository. Some publishers, such as <u>PLOS</u>, also provide lists of recommendations. University of Sheffield researchers can deposit data in <u>ORDA</u>, the University's data repository; all research data selected for long-term preservation should be registered in ORDA, irrespective of where the data files themselves are deposited. Research data in non-digital formats, and digital data that cannot be made accessible or requires controlled access, should also be registered in ORDA.

See also Making data discoverable and Research data repositories.

How your data and software will be accessible to others

Wellcome guidance

Your plan should set out clearly:

- how potential users will be able to discover, access and reuse data or software outputs
- any associated terms or conditions.

Enabling discovery

Where a data or software resource is being developed as part of a funded activity, you should take reasonable steps to ensure that potential users are:

- made aware of its availability
- updated on significant revisions and releases.

Your plan should outline your approach for maximising the discoverability of your data or software.

We encourage all researchers to use digital object identifiers (DOIs) or other persistent identifiers for their data and software outputs, to enable their reuse to be cited and tracked.

The <u>DataCite</u> initiative provides a key route through which DOIs are assigned to datasets. Many repositories assign DOIs on deposition.

Where appropriate, you may also publish an article describing dataset or software output to help users discover, access and reference the resource. You can use venues such as Scientific Data, Giga Science and Wellcome Open Research.

Access procedures for data

Where a managed data access process is required – eg where a study involves identifiable data about research participants – the access mechanisms should be proportionate to the risks associated with the data. They must not unduly restrict or delay access.

You must describe any managed access procedures in your outputs management plan. It should be consistent and transparent and documented clearly on your study website.

Depending on the study, you may want to establish a graded access procedure where less sensitive data – eg anonymised and aggregate data – is made readily available, and more sensitive datasets have a more stringent assessment.

Where a Data Access Committee is needed to assess data access requests, the committee should include individuals with appropriate expertise who are independent of the project.

The <u>Expert Advisory Group on Data Access</u> has set out key principles for developing data access and governance mechanisms, to which applicants should refer.

Open software and database licences

If you're sharing your output through a repository, the terms by which you do so are likely to be set by the repository itself. If you're sharing directly with the research community, you need to consider the most appropriate way to do so, for example by an appropriate open licence or public domain dedication.

For data, we recommend Creative Commons licences such as CC0 or CC BY. For software, the <u>Open Source Initiative</u> provides access to a range of open software licences, such as the GNU General Public Licence, Apache Licence, and the MIT Licence. Where possible, you should select one of these standard licences (rather than using a bespoke licence).

You must make sure it's clear which licence has been applied, so that users can see whether the data or software is accessible and on what terms.

Whether limits to data and software sharing are required

Wellcome guidance

For some research, delays or limits on data sharing may be necessary to safeguard research participants or to ensure you can gain IP protection.

Restrictions should be minimised as much as possible and set out clearly in your outputs management plans, if required.

Safeguarding research participants

For research involving human subjects, data must be managed and shared in a way that's fully consistent with the terms of the consent under which samples and data were provided by the research participants.

For prospective studies, consent procedures should include provision for data sharing in a way that maximises the value of the data for wider research use, while providing adequate safeguards for participants. Procedures for data sharing should be set out clearly, and current and potential future risks explained to participants.

When designing studies, you must make sure that you protect the confidentiality and security of human subjects, including through appropriate anonymisation procedures and managed access processes.

Clinical trials

For clinical trials you should mention specifically how you will share individual-level patient data. This should include:

- a plan to seek patient informed consent that allows data to be shared in the way outlined
- the level of identification risk and method of de-identification you will adopt
- the repository you plan to use
- any managed access arrangements, such as a data access committee

Intellectual property (IP)

Delays or restrictions on data or software sharing may be appropriate to protect and use IP in line with our <u>policy on intellectual property and patenting</u>. If this applies, you should only share data or software when it no longer jeopardises your IP position or commercialisation plans.

Your proposed approach for identifying, protecting and using IP should be set out as described in the IP section of this guidance below.

TUoS/DCC guidance: Ethics and privacy

Outline how you will handle any personal, sensitive or confidential data you will be using. Ensure all intended uses of the data are included explicitly in your consent form and ethics approval. This needs to cover secure storage, transfer, preservation and data sharing.

Describe measures you will take to protect the identity of participants, such as anonymisation or managed access procedures, which may avoid the need to delete data.

For guidance on ethical and legal issues, including GDPR, see <u>University and legal requirements</u> and UK Data Service <u>Legal and ethical issues</u>. See also ICPSR's guidance on <u>data confidentiality</u> and <u>HIPAA regulations for health research</u>.

See TUoS/DCC guidance: Data sharing

How datasets and software will be preserved

Wellcome guidance

You need to consider how datasets and software that have long-term value will be preserved and curated beyond the lifetime of your grant.

If your proposal is to create a bespoke data or software resource, or to store data or software locally rather than to use a recognised repository, your plan should state how you expect to preserve and share the dataset or software when your funding ends.

TUoS/DCC guidance: Preservation

Describe how you will preserve and share your data, including the length of time they will be kept and the nature of the storage location. Also indicate if any additional resources or funding will be required to deposit and store the data.

<u>UKRI</u> funders generally expect data with long-term value to be preserved and remain accessible, alongside the software and code needed to reproduce your findings. This does not mean that you need to keep all of your data, but you will need to state who will be responsible for choosing and archiving this data, as well as documenting the removal of any data that must be destroyed.

It is particularly important to preserve data which cannot be remeasured or recreated, such as earth observations or people-based data. See <u>Preserving data</u> for guidance on data selection and the NERC data value checklist for guidance on determining long-term value.

Many research funders specify which data need to be preserved, how long for and where they should be deposited. See Research funder policy summaries for information. If your funder does not specify a particular storage location, you can search resources such as <u>re3data</u> and those provided by <u>BBSRC</u> and <u>Nature</u> for an appropriate repository. University of Sheffield researchers may alternatively deposit data in <u>ORDA</u>, the University's research data repository.

For further information, see <u>Making data discoverable</u> and <u>Research data repositories</u>. See also DCC guide <u>How to appraise and select research data for curation</u>.

Research materials

What materials your research will produce and how these will be made available

Wellcome guidance

Your plan should identify any significant materials you expect to develop using Wellcome funding, which could be of potential value as a resource to other researchers.

You should identify in your plan how the materials will be made available to potential users. For example, by:

- depositing in a recognised collection such as ECACC
- licensing to a reputable life science business partner who can handle advertising, manufacture, storage and distribution.

If the material is highly specialised and the potential number of users is so small that commercial partners cannot be found, distributing samples yourself to other researchers who have asked for them, may be an acceptable plan. However, where possible, you should find a more sustainable long-term solution that doesn't put an undue burden on you or your institution.

When dealing with commercial entities, you should retain the right to produce the research materials yourself, and to license others to do so, if your chosen commercial partner is unable or unwilling to continue supplying them to the research community.

Whilst your institution may generate reasonable revenue from commercialising research materials, the primary driver should not be revenue generation. You should ensure that your research materials are made available to the wider research community and thereby advance the development of health benefits.

Resources required

You should consider what resources you may need to deliver your plan and outline where dedicated resources are required.

Wellcome guidance

Examples of resources you can request include:

People and skills

- support for one or more dedicated data manager or data scientist (on a full- or part-time basis)
- data and software management training for research or support staff that are needed to deliver the proposed research.

We don't usually consider costs for occasional or routine support from institutional data managers or other support staff.

Storage and computation

- any dedicated hardware or software that is required to deliver your proposed research
- the cost of accessing a supercomputer or other shared facilities.

We would usually expect costs associated with routine data storage to be met by the institution. We will only consider storage costs associated with large or complex datasets which exceed standard institutional allowances.

Access

- the reasonable costs of operating an access committee or other data access mechanism over the lifetime of the award
- the costs of preparing and sharing data, software or materials with users (and whether costrecovery mechanisms will be used)
- the costs of ingesting secondary data, code or materials from users
- costs associated with accessing data from other researchers that you need to take forward your proposed research.

Deposition and preservation of data, software and materials

- ingestion or deposition costs to recognised subject repositories for data, code and materials
- the costs for data or code deposition in unstructured repositories (eg FigShare, Dryad and Zenodo) where no recognised subject repository exists.

If no repository is suitable, we may consider ingestion costs for institutional repositories.

We don't usually consider estimated costs for curation and maintenance of data, code and materials that extend beyond the lifetime of the award. But we're willing to discuss how we can help support the long-term preservation of very high-value data outputs on a case-by-case basis.

TUoS/DCC guidance: Budget

Outline and justify resource costs involved in implementing the data management plan. These may include costs of storage, staff, data preparation or repository charges. Any support, training or technical assistance required to deliver the plan should also be noted.

University of Sheffield research groups can request up to 10TB free data storage during the lifetime of a project. There may be charges for larger or longer-term storage. Contact <u>IT Services</u> to discuss your requirements or obtain a quote.

The University of Sheffield research data repository, <u>ORDA</u>, is free to use. You should enquire about charges made by other data repositories.

If data are to be deposited anywhere other than an established data centre or repository, you should give details and associated costs of how the data will be preserved and shared. See UK Data Service guidance on costing data management.

Intellectual property

What IP your research will generate

Wellcome guidance

Your plan should describe any significant IP that is likely to arise during your research. You should identify what processes you have in place to identify and capture this IP, as well as any unanticipated discoveries or inventions that result from your work.

TUoS/DCC guidance: Intellectual property rights

State who will own the copyright and intellectual property rights of new and existing data, and how this may impact upon data sharing.

The University owns the data that you generate in the course of your research. In most cases you will still be able to use it for any research purpose. If you have collaborators or funders, you need to check agreements to see if they alter the rights to use of the data. If any data is involved in a patent application, you must not share it until you have consulted Research Services.

You should apply a licence to any data you share, informing users how the data may be used. Guidance on licensing can be found at:

- University and legal requirements (TUoS)
- How to license research data (DCC)
- Rights relating to research data and Copyright (licensing) (UK Data Service)
- <u>Data and software licensing wizard</u> (EUDAT)

See TuoS/DCC guidance: Metadata & documentation and Data sharing

How IP will be protected

Wellcome guidance

You should describe if and how you will protect significant Wellcome-funded IP. For example, if you're registering a patent or design, you should briefly outline the territories in which you'll do this.

Publication of details relating to an invention can limit or entirely destroy the potential to patent and commercialise the invention in the future. If you think that patentable Wellcome-funded IP will arise (or when unanticipated IP has arisen), you should explain how you'll make sure that publications don't affect your ability to secure and make suitable use of patent protection to advance health benefits.

How IP will be used to achieve health benefits

Wellcome guidance

Wellcome sees IP as a tool which can be used to advance health benefits. You should therefore focus on:

- the benefits your use of the IP will bring to the wider research community
- how this will benefit health.

If your research output is particularly relevant to humanitarian or developing world issues, your plan should specifically address how:

- the output can best be made available for use internationally to address those issues
- your IP strategy will allow this.

Where Wellcome-funded IP comprises a patentable invention, we expect in most cases that it will be protected by filing a patent application. This should be done at a time which maximises the prospects of achieving the desired health benefits, even if this requires a delay to publication. You should only publish details of a potentially patentable invention (without having first sought patent protection) where:

- a market assessment has been carried out and there is no credible prospect of a patent for that invention being commercialised now or in the near future.
- a deliberate decision not to patent the invention (and not to allow anyone else to patent) has been taken for policy reasons. Publication instead of patenting in this case should clearly benefit the wider research community and support the delivery of health benefits. Discuss this with your institution if you're unsure. Contact Wellcome for advice before publication if you're still unsure.

Revenue generation should only be a secondary consideration. The primary driver for any commercialisation must be to advance health benefit, even if your employer may generate revenue from commercialising Wellcome-funded IP.

Wellcome content © The Wellcome Trust, licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 2.0 UK.